

From: [REDACTED]
To: [M25 Junction 28](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: London Borough of Havering Deadline 5 Submission TR010029 -Response to REP4-026
Date: 13 April 2021 20:03:22
Attachments: [LBHaveringResponsetoREP4-026 - 13042021.pdf](#)

Dear Planning Inspectorate,

Please find attached the London Borough of Havering (20025659) submission for Deadline 5 for the M25/J28 Improvement Scheme (TR010029). This submission concerns LB Havering's response to the Applicant's response to Action Points from Issue Specific Hearing Two (REP4-026).

Regards,

Daniel

Daniel Douglas | Team Leader Transport Planning

London Borough of Havering | Planning
Mercury House, Mercury Gardens, Romford, RM1 3SL

[REDACTED]
[w www.havering.gov.uk](http://www.havering.gov.uk)

Cleaner, Safer, Prouder Together

Sign up for [email updates](#) for local news and information

This document is strictly confidential and is intended only for use by the addressee. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, copying, distribution or other action taken in reliance of the information contained in this e-mail is strictly prohibited. Any views expressed by the sender of this message are not necessarily those of the London Borough of Havering. If you have received this transmission in error, please use the reply function to tell us and then permanently delete what you have received. This email was scanned for viruses by the London Borough of Havering anti-virus services and on leaving the Authority was found to be virus free. Please note: Incoming and outgoing e-mail messages are routinely monitored for compliance with our policy on the use of electronic communications.

Havering Council's Privacy Notice can be found on our website Data Protection, https://www.havering.gov.uk/info/20044/council_data_and_spending/139/data_protection, which outlines your rights and how we collect, use, store, delete and protect your personal data.



Havering
LONDON BOROUGH

Daniel Douglas
Team Leader Transport Planning
Planning
London Borough of Havering
Mercury House
Mercury Gardens
Romford
RM1 3SL

Mr Richard Allen
Lead Member of the Examining Authority

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
13th April 2021
www.havering.gov.uk

Dear Sir,

London Borough of Havering (20025659) – Responses to Applicant’s responses to Issue Specific Hearing 2 Actions (REP4-026)

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Applicant’s response to action points from Issue Specific Hearing 2 held on 5th March. Havering would like to offer the following comments:

Action Point 2

The Applicant’s response does not give LB Havering the surety it needs that residents on local roads, or any road in the borough will not be impacted by the parking of construction vehicles. LB Havering maintains the position set out at ISH2 and in the Written Statement to Oral Submissions (REP4-031) that the Article 18 (2c) is not appropriate. Reference should be made to “roads within the red line boundary” to provide surety to the residents of Havering as to which roads may be affected.

LB Havering welcomes the revision to Part Two Procedure for Discharging Requirements Requirement 18 to reflect that consultation comments will be submitted to the Secretary of State in full and this addresses the concerns previously raised by LB Havering as to how final Management Plans will be approved.

Action Point 6

LB Havering maintains its position concerning S106 agreements and will be responding to the Applicants comments (REP4 – 010) at Deadline 5.

Havering put forward a request for an additional requirement at Deadline 3b (REP3B) and Deadline 4 (REP4-029) to ensure that residents are adequately protected from noise during construction. The request for this additional requirement remains and further information will be provided in Havering’s response to the updated draft DCO at Deadline 5.

Action Point 9

LB Havering welcomes the comment made by the Applicant to include additional works implemented through the DCO that would see a new shared footway/cycleway link on the Brook Street approach to the circulatory and through the circulatory itself.

Cleaner, Safer, Prouder Together

However it is unclear whether this would address the concerns LB Havering has previously made within its Local Impact Report with regards to the uncontrolled crossing points on the M25 Southbound on-slip and the A12 westbound on-slip.

Whilst the improvements set out by the Applicant for the circulatory itself would be of benefit to Non-Motorised Users, LB Havering maintains a preference for the Non-Motorised Route currently being progressed by the Applicant through Designated Funds to be secured through the DCO itself.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Applicants response to Issue Specific Hearing 2 Action Points.

Yours faithfully,



Daniel Douglas,
Team Leader Transport Planning